



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/851,943	05/10/2001	Yasuyuki Mishima	HITA.0053	4052

38327 7590 04/07/2005

REED SMITH LLP
3110 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE, SUITE 1400
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042

EXAMINER

KOVALICK, VINCENT E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2673

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/851,943

Applicant(s)

MISHIMA ET AL.

Examiner

Vincent E Kovalick

Art Unit

2673

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 October 2004.
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6,8 and 11-15 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6,8 and 11-15 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 May 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to Applicant's Amendment dated October 15, 2004 in response to USPTO Office Action dated June 15, 2004.

The cancellation of claims 7, 9, 10, 16 and 17 and the amendments to claims 1 and 11-15 have been noted and entered in the record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claim 1-2, 4-5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ode et al. (US 2001/0024183) taken with Nakano. (USP 5,555,422).

Relative to claim 1, Ode et al. **teaches** a Liquid Crystal Display (LCD) device (pg. 1 paras. 0014-0019 and pg. 2, paras. 0020-0025); Ode et al. further **teaches** a display device comprising a liquid crystal display element and a plural driving circuits; a display control device which transmits display data and a clock signal to the plurality driving circuits (pg. 3, paras. 0049-0051; paras. 0059-0069 and Figs. 1 and 2); and a circuit board which is provided between the display control device and the plural driving circuits and supplies the display data and the clock signal transmitted from the display control device, to each of the driving circuits via a bus line and a clock line in the circuit board

Art Unit: 2673

(paras. 0176-0180, Figs. 19 A & B and Fig. 20); further, Ode et al. **teaches** at least one of the bus line and the clock signal line of the circuit board being formed and divided into plural lines (pg. 3, para. 0064 and Fig. 1); still further, Ode et al. **teaches** a plurality of connecting lines are connected to at least one the th bus line and the clock signal line and arranged in perpendicular direction to the at least one of the bus line and the clock signal line, and said divided plural lines are electrically connected to the display control device individually through the connecting lines and a connector (Fig. 1). It being understood that the system would obviously require connection means to accommodate attaching the said bus lines to the system display control device.

Ode et al. **does not teach** at least one of the bus lines and the clock signal lines of the circuit board being formed in a continuous area along a long side direction of the circuit board and divided into plural lines along the long side direction of said circuit board, said circuit further comprising a connector arranged on the circuit board (col. 8, lines 42-57 and Fig. 8).

Ode et al. teaches a video signal line driving circuit of a LCD for the purpose of enabling multilevel gradation display.

Nakano **teaches** a prober for semiconductor integrated circuit element; Nakano further **teaches teach** at least one of the bus lines and the clock signal lines of the circuit board being formed in a continuous area along a long side direction of the circuit board and divided into plural lines along the long side direction of said circuit board, said circuit further comprising a connector arranged on the circuit board (col. 8, lines 42-57 and Fig. 8).

Art Unit: 2673

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide to the devices as taught by Ode et al. the features as taught by Nakano in order to put in place a circuit board on which the connecting bus lines and signal lines are accommodated to make the connection between the display control driver and associated data, clock and control line drive circuits.

Regarding claims 2 and 5, Ode et al. further **teaches** a said display device wherein the display control device supplies the display data and the clock signal to each of the divided bus lines and clock signal lines in sequence in accordance with transmission timing (pg. 3, para. 0063). It being understood that with the teaching of Ode et al. wherein the display control device generates all the signals to drive the image display device, the said display control device would generate the data and clock signals to each of the divided bus lines and clock signal lines in sequence in accordance with transmission timing.

Regarding claim 4, it being understood that with the means to divide bus lines and clock signal lines (as taught by Nakano), the division could be limited to just two lines.

As to claim 8, Ode et al. **teaches** said display device wherein the clock signal is a clock signal for latching display data (pg. 3, para. 0065).

4. Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ode et al. taken with Nakano as applied to claim 2 and 5 respectively in item 3 hereinabove, and further in view of Hamilton et al. (USP 4,503,494).

Regarding claims 3 and 6, Ode et al. **does not teach** said display device wherein the display control device supplies a signal of fixed voltage level to each of the divided bus

Art Unit: 2673

lines and clock signal lines to which the display data and the clock signal are not supplied.

Ode et al. teaches a video signal line driving circuit of a LCD for the purpose of enabling multilevel gradation display.

Hamilton et al. **teaches** a display control circuit which generates a fixed voltage for application to system bus lines (col. 28, lines 32-67 and col. 29, lines 1-63); Hamilton et al. further **teaches** a control circuit generating a fixed voltage for application to system bus lines wherein a fixed voltage is supplied to a bus line when a data/clock signal is not supplied (col. 29, lines 28-44). It being understood that the system bus lines are brought to a fixed voltage state independent of whether the bus lines are for transmitting data or clock signal.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide to the device as taught by Ode et al. taken with Nakano the feature as taught by Hamilton et al. in order to eliminate the condition whereby the voltage on the bus line would be left floating.

5. Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ode et al. taken with Nakano as applied to claim 1 in item 3 hereinabove, and further in view of Hamilton et al.

Regarding claims 11, 12 and 15 Ode et al. further **teaches** the display control device supplies the display data and clock signals to each of the divided bus lines and clock signal lines in sequence in accordance with transmission timing (pg. 3, para. 0063).

Art Unit: 2673

Ode et al. taken with Nakano **does not teach** said display control device supplies a signal of fixed voltage level to each of the divided bus lines and clock signal lines to which the display data and clock signal lines are not supplied.

Ode et al. taken with Nakano teaches a video signal line driving circuit of a LCD for the purpose of enabling multilevel gradation display wherein signal lines are divided to accommodate the distribution of signals that have multiple applications in the same system.

Hamilton et al. **teaches** a control circuit generating a fixed voltage for application to system bus lines wherein a fixed voltage is supplied to a bus line when a data/clock signal is not supplied (col. 29, lines 28-44). It being understood that the system bus lines are brought to a fixed voltage state independent of whether the signal transmission lines are for transmitting data or clock signal.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide to the device as taught by Ode et al. taken with Nakano the feature as taught by Hamilton et al. in order to provide the voltage signals to stabilize the bus lines when data is not being transmitted.

Relative to claims 13-14, Hamilton further **teaches** a control circuit initiating a fixed voltage for application to a signal transmission line (col. 29, lines 28-44).

In a display device, the practice of supplying signals of one voltage to one line of a display matrix while supplying a different voltage to other data or signal lines in the matrix is a common feature of display devices.

Because this practice is common and well known in the art, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide to the device as

Art Unit: 2673

taught by Ode et al. taken with Nakano the feature as taught by Hamilton of generating a fixed voltage for application to the date of clock signal lines of the system.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide to the device as taught by Ode et al. taken with Nakano the feature as taught by Hamilton et al. in order to eliminate the condition whereby the voltage on the signal transmission line/s would be left floating if a fixed voltage were not established.

Response to Applicant's Remarks

6. Regarding Applicant's remarks relative to the layout of bus lines, data lines and clock lines on a circuit board wherein said lines are formed in a continuous area along a long side direction of the circuit board and being divided into plural lines along the long side direction; with plural driving circuits arranged at one side other circuit board and the circuit board comprising a connector through which the said lines pass. This wiring format is well know in the art and in common practice in order to optimize the signal flow from the display control device to the data and clock lines as is taught in Fig 1 of Ode et al. (US 2001/0024183) and illustrated in Fig. 8 of Nakano (USP 5,555,422). Nakano teaches bus lines positioned along a long edge of a printed circuit board (substrate) with signal lines branching off the bus lines perpendicular to the said bus lines; said branching lines in turn feeding appropriate signal to the unit circuits. The circuit board as taught by Nakano further comprises a connector placed in the center of the edge of the circuit board in which it is contained, again this placement is compatible with common practice in the art.

The amendment to claim 1 renders moot Applicant's comments relative to

Art Unit: 2673

claim 1.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U. S. Patent No.	6,518,946	Ode et al.
U. S. Patent No.	6,166,725	Isami et al.
U. S. Patent No.	6,023,310	Kawamoto et al.
U. S. Patent No.	4,492,460	Considine

Final Rejection

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2673

Responses

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vincent E Kovalick whose telephone number is (571) 2723-7669. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30- 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (571) 272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Vincent E. Kovalick
March 18, 2005



BIPIN SHALWALA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600